App. F. IX-4

1923. (Mysore)

File No. 31-23

Serial Nos. 18-26

Previous References

Mys 580-21- 1-6, 7-8, 9-15
20-22, 26-28, 33
603-21- 1-7 24, 25, 30, 32 & 33

Later References

Mys 2-30-50

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for July 1930

SUBJECT

Agreement to be executed by the Swamigals of Sri Vyasaraya Swami mutt

---

The execution of the Deed by the Swamigals in the form proposed by the Govt. Advocate may be issued

Files

Vide Mys. 308-29

G. R. S. 11] 1923

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mez.)

NOTES.

File No. 31/1923

FILE HEADING.

Agreement to be executed by the Swamigalu of Sri Vyasaraya Swamy Matt.

From

The Mezrai Cente.

No. 119 Dated 23.5.25 Received 26.5.25.

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1797		-	19

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits a copy of the Agreement drafted by the Govt. Advocate together with a Kannada translation of the Same prepared under order of the Chief Mez. Secretary, and states that if

NOTES AND ORDERS.

approved, he will send copies to the Swamigalu with the intimation that no modification is possible and an agreement on a paper of requisite value may be executed &c.

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 37

Serial No 1

Muz Y. no 31 7-8 86 / 17

The Subject is Submitted.

✓ The last Comr Conveying some observations in the matter of the execution of a regular release deed on a duly Stamped paper by the Svamisalu is dated 5-2-1925, and the letter now under disposal is a reply to it.

The Muz. Comr solicits orders in the matter of getting an Agreement in Kannada from the Svamisalu on the lines of that now sent up by them.

3 As the matter of the delay in the execution of the Agreement the Svamy explains in his Srimukha & the Muz. Comr (no. 225 Dt 2-5-1925) that he had sent up his Rayasa (not accepted by Govt) & his Sawadhikari in July 1924, and that this Sawadhi the Collector is responsible for the delay in its submission to Govt.

4 The Svamisalu takes objection to para 4 and 8

NOTE—Contn Sheet

9

3 (Rina 1).

File No. 71 of 1925

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

of the proposed agreement and states that the conditions imposed are very derogatory to the dignity of the matt. Para 7 refers to the claims and liabilities appertaining to the land endowments of the mathas as they stood on the date of transfer, while para 8 refers to the permanent arrangements made or sanctioned by Govt for the carrying out of the affairs of the mathas.

5. The Swamy does not assign reasons for taking objection to the said paras. The Asst. Comr. states that he fails to see anything that is derogatory to the dignity of the matt.

6. The Asst. Comr. solicits orders in the matter of taking in Kaurasa, an agreement from the Swamy as per draft sent up by him.

Submitted for orders.

1.7.25. Paras 7 and 8 are the most important portion of this agreement, and the conditions present therein are most essential

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

4

Serial No

* E.

for kindness of
Chas Lees Mr
the papers dealing
of the matter. Reference
to the agreement
of the Nizam
under similar circs
Conditions are the same
Save the agreement with
Deccan. What is to be done
the Nizam must be
considered as such the agreement
of 1918 apply. The Mr Lees may
be asked what he sees
derogating the original
in para 2 & 3 of the
And that he may obtain
the Ramada in the same
the papers of adequate
Submit the same
as possible
RK.

9 3 6 25 Now as the Viceroy
in which the properties were restored: the matter
Cannot go back now after having taken
possession of the properties. The Secretary's
recommendation may be approved
Lee Secy 10 3 6 25
(WM). I agree

NOTE—Contn Sheet

50/4/6

File No. of 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 37 of 19 23

Serial No.

Dy. Secretary
Mr. Bh. 28 Bh
17.6.25.
1st June
17.6.25.

20 To the Manx. Commrs. No. 1800 dt. 19.6.25.
Approves of the suggestion (made in para 4 of his letter) to sent copies of the agreement in English and Kannada to the Swamigalu for being executed on a paper of requisite value, and requests him to report the result for the info. of Govt. at an early date.

13 This question of obtg. an agreement from the Swamigalu of the Nyasanga matt. in respect of the matt properties outside the Nyasa State was held up pending order of Govt. on the request of the Swamigalu for taking all the matt properties again under the management of Govt. Now that orders have been issued taking only the Nyasa properties under Govt. control, the question of obtg. this agreement in regard of the properties outside the State

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue
Secretariat. 2

File No of 19

Serial No

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

R 1192 File No.

	Cover No	Have now the honour to forward herewith Mr Rayl's letter & the Sub Jr offr's Bk — 2/12/26 for approval 1st Rev Secy 16 1st member 2/12 4 2/12
11/2 3.2.26		Draft is appd by Hon'ble — Mr 3/2 — Secy 4/2
	21.	To mun Commr. No 1001 dy 5.2.26. Requests him that an agreement ~~for~~ in respect of the properties outside the State may be obtained from Swami on a duly stamped paper etc calls for report of action taken by the end of March 1926.
5/2	22.	From, the Mun. Comr. Memo dy 26.3.26. Forwards copy of his letter to the Mate Agent issuing instructions in the matter of executing an Agreement
	23.	From, the Mun. Comr. letter no. C.119 dy 20.11.26. Reports that the Swamy objects to the condition in the agreement that he will not collect alms or any

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G R S 111 2J

B65WD 0531

File No

of 19

General Revenue
Secretariat.

No

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9 26
App. F. III—2J

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192 –

Serial No.

of the permanent arrangements made or sanctioned by Govt for the carrying on of the matt affairs & from the previous pages of the office-note, it will be seen that the Swamy had raised such an objection in the past and it negatived by Govt in view of the fact that the Vilvarasi Swamy had agreed to such a condition. No special reasons are assigned for a relaxation in the case of Vyasarayar Swamy.

If the M-e- wants a correction of S.O.S. relating to the Vyasa Raya Swamy Matt submit for orders.

27.11.26

To the Mun: Supt: Whose office has now been transferred to the Mang: Comr. was managing the matt affairs when the matt was under the management of Govt. and should have all the orders relating to the matt. If the Comrs' office specifies the orders they require, copies thereof can be prepared & supplied. It is difficult to make a complete compilation of orders relating to the matt in their office. Orders solicited if the M.E wants

Mang

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Please put this M.S. on the file (

[illegible]

may be requested to have order of which he wants

Sd
29.11.26

12

A Sft. A The Asst. is the best for a Sft.

Sd
10/12/26

12 Issue

Sd

24 20. Asst Comrs No 1103 of 13.12.26 State that it is not possible to make up and keep the Compilation of the orders upto as the mail was all along under the direct Control of the Asst Supt and all for such of the orders as his office is aware of when the connected file will be traced etc

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No

of 19

Serial No

21 The Vizagapatam Collr inspected the estates the State were handed back to the Govt ... over 6 years ago and ... agreement as to the Steps that he has ... charge of the Properties and that he has ... said all questions of Govt in respect of the Properties, called for from him ... the above has not been ... all these years. The Maharaja evidently not willing to execute the agreement, and unless this is done, the Madras Govt cannot be intimated that the Mysore Govt have not ... the properties under their Control ~~of the latter~~.

25 Minor file 31 of 23 will show that all possible facilities were shown to the Jeevadhikari of the Mutt in the matter of getting copies of orders relating to the Institution and of looking into the Mutt accounts during the period it has been under Govt management &c.

No charge re Trustees have been received in the matter all to date.

27 [The Properties of the Mutt in the Mysore State have recently been taken under Govt management at the request of the Swamiji. & have agreed to manage outside properties.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Initialed personally 30/12/25

cneral and Revenue Secretariat | File No 71 of 1923

Serial No

28 The Residency Magistrate informed perhaps it necessary that we have handed over the administration of the properties outside the limits of the Swami. The Swami wants that the management of these properties should be taken over by first & we have agreed to accept this. This means an acknowledgment by Swami that these properties are now in his under his own Control. It seems unnecessary to wait for any special agreement from the Swami & this appeal the papers may therefore be recorded if approved by 2nd Member

28/5

Secretariat No 6943

30 Why is any reply due to the Resy? Is there any Comm from the Resy awaiting answer? Is an agreement of the kind referred to in the Secys' note of 25/5/25 necessary for Govt cont is there any note, to them in its absence. I can not understand how the Swami has been allowed his own way in these matters?

June 30/5/25

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24 5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 192

Serial No.

(7) When the properties of the Sri Vyasaraja mutt outside the State were assumed under the management of the Mysore Govt, the power of Attorney was executed by the ~~Dewan of Mysore~~ Sri Swamijee in favor of the Dewan of Mysore and his successors in office to be his Attorney & agent to manage the said properties, and the Dewan in turn executed another power of attorney in favor of an Official called the Govt. Agent of the Vyasaraja mutt to manage the properties on his behalf (& work under the orders of the Dewan Asst.) Reference is solicited to one such Power of attorney executed by Sri Kantharajaiah in favor of Mr. L Srinivasa Rao, who was appointed as Govt. agent of the mutt in place of Mr. M. Raghavendra Rao. The Hon'ble the Resident was apprised of this change in the Appt of the Govt. agent in this office letter no Pol: 824 & 4. 2. 21 and Mysr 228-19-24

the Co-Operation of the Madras Govt

X S.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 71 of 1922

Serial No

Govt. was solicited "in the business
"to be conducted by the Nizam
"Govt. Agent relative to the matt
"Estates situated in the Madra
"Presidency".
2/ Said reference is also solicited
by the Mem: submitted vide No. 4177
dated the 4th April 1922 Subg,
a history of the management of
the Mate properties by the Nizam
Govt. and requesting sanction &
the recognition thereof to the Sri
Swamijalu, which was sanctd.
in GO No. 583-43/Mur 580-21-8
& 20.4.22, as well as
to the Rev: Secys note No. 553
& 4.8.22. These notes
explain the necessity for and the
terms of the agreement to be
obtained from the Swamijalu,
as well as the necessity for
a reference to the Madras Govt.
3/ The Swamijalu has been
addressed in the matter of
the agreement which has
postponed on writing to the
Madras Govt. in the matter.

X O

⊗ Y.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No

Through all possible forms were shown. (Vide inner file 31-23)

Even if the agreement had succeeded, it seems necessary to inform the Madras Govt through the Residency that the Mall Properties have been handed over to the Jamnagar and the Mysore Govts have not been in charge of them since 1st August 1922.

2/6/28 (2nd Member) 2/6/28

35 I do not think there is any object in now addressing the Government of Madras on this subject. We wrote to them on the first occasion, ~~obviously~~ for such help as they could ~~can~~ give ~~to~~ us in managing the properties of the Mutt situated in the Madras Presidency. We have now no longer this responsibility which has devolved upon the principal himself. There is therefore nothing about which we need communicate with the Madras Government.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

As

THE ... OF COURSE
JUNE 1928
7111

2126/1926

FINANCE
12 JUNE 1928
17789

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No 31 of 1923.

Serial No.

16 As for the agreement which has been considered necessary to be taken from the Swami we have allowed the matter to be slept over for about five years and no trouble has so far arisen in this long interval. We were managing the properties of the Mutt in the Madras Presidency under a power of attorney given by the Swami and with the restoration of these properties to ~~him~~ the Head of the Mutt, our business ended. I do not quite see any need for a formal legal document to be executed by the Swami to ~~see~~ Say that he absolves us from all ~~his~~ liabilities. This is, however, a legal point and on it the opinion of the Legal Remembrancer may be obtained.

17 Mr. Jayaram Iyer who was Muzrai Superintendent in 1922, considered a document of this kind necessary to be executed by the Swami and a form of the document was also got prepared by the Government Advocate. If no such document is ~~legally~~ necessary to protect ~~the~~ Government, we might drop the matter. In fact, the absence of such a document has not given occasion to any complaint by the Mutt or any claim by it against Government. If, however ~~any such~~ a document is absolutely necessary, the Swami ~~same~~ will have to be told to execute it at once and

NOTE —Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No 31 of 1927.

Serial No.

and that if he does not [illegible], some [illegible] action in the [illegible] of other persons to [illegible] his properties within the State or [illegible] the payment of the grants to the Ruler, will have to be taken.

37) Law Dept) 28/6/28

38 I think it safe to get the document already drafted, executed by the Swami to prevent future complications arising out of the management of the properties.

39 Though no trouble has arisen till now, it cannot be said that the Govt would be saved the trouble of defending an action based on a alleged fraud for which there is a period of 3 years from the date of discovery. ~~to be~~

Mysuir/Hr) The Chief Secy with Comp 48 8/6/28

JUNE 16 28 No 7370.

Finance Member) 28/6/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5 27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mys File No. 87 of 1923.

Serial No.	
40	Please address the M.C. and ask him to have the document executed by the Swamiji in the form drawn up by the Govt Advocate. The Swamiji may be told that if he does not comply immediately recourse will be had to such action as will compel him to do so Mys 26.6.25
41	
42	Dd. 26 Contr 21.6.25 21.6.25
43	21/6 21/6
25	To the Secy. Comrs. Re 2460 dated 25-6-25 Requests to have the document executed in the form drawn up by Govt. Advocate & that if the Swamiji does not comply, recourse will be had to Compel him to do so.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[M. C. 13.]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ... 192 .

No. 114
23-4.

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



SIR,

43
Submit for perusal with the
Inm file. As it has been decided
to take up the management of the outside properties
once again for 3 years (the file was submitted to the Deputy
for orders), there is perhaps no need to take
any agreement from the Shanubhogs. The
1.10.29 present agreement refers to restoration of the
properties to the Zamindar. It appears to be necessary
as opined by the DR.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No | of 192 .

Serial No.

It may be some time before which we can [illegible] from the Treasury & we are in the meantime taking possession of ~~all~~ & ~~this~~ going to manage all the properties. The proposed [illegible] be [illegible]

10) 7/10

as Let report of executive of Agreement Come

OFFICE OF THE FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVT.
5 OCT. 1929
No. 2140

From the M.C. Letter no 119 of 7.11.29

Gives it as his opinion that the execution of the deed by the Sua in the prescribed form, may be waived, as the period of limitation has elapsed since these the properties were handed over to the Swamy, and adds that if necessary, a clause may be added in the new deed to be taken from the Swamijalas while taking charge of the said properties, absolving the Govt from all liability as regards past management

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD. 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No.

B

A

"In another connection, the h c states that he is taking steps to get the necessary documents executed by the Inamdars (vide linked file) may he be requested to include a clause as suggested by him, and send it up to Govt for Imtr

AR
20-11-25.

Lws
20/11 "Whether this clause may be included in the new agreement is a point of law. L.R. will have to be consulted.

AR
20-11-25

7 Secys

Sir B I think copies of the documents are

Comm. L
22/11

by Mr B Veritys Secretary
AR
23/11

50 Copies of the documents have been received, and are dealt with in the linked file. In the new deed now received, no new clause has been added as proposed by the h c to the h.c Regards that the period of limitation for any claim against Govt, having has elapsed, it is a point for Consideration whether any

6224/21/21

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

addition is necessary—
Submit.
AV
16-11-29

51 To d R with compliments for favour of opinion as the ale is suppressed.
L
25/11/29

52 The suggested addition does not seem to be necessary.
D. M.
21/11

Received with compts
53 Mr Menzies will be informed accordingly
21/11
54
1st member
21/11/30

2J
4284
Receipt with members compts
the Hon'ble
Le Power)

55 The new gradient Power has been created by the Enemy further there is perhaps nothing to be done in the matter. The papers may be recorded & filed.
Submitted for orders.
AV
13.2.1930. 56 Mem just after the receipt of document in Mr Menzies who has promised

Note—Contn. Sheet

Please put up the file
C.W. & Note of
this may then be returned

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

30

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 31 of 1923

Serial No.

57 The powers of attorney have been recd and put up in another file. (Nos: 30 & 29).

(vide linked file)

58 This file may perhaps be closed.

put up tomorrow.

(H. Secy)

5.7.30

5.7.30

8.7.30

8/7/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

056—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

42868

22

No. C 119/22 [illegible] Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore.

Dated 16th A P R I L 1925.

From

C. S. Palasundarum Iyer, Esq., B. A.

Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

To

Dr 1667

The Sarvadhikari,

Sri Gosale Vyasaraya Swami Mutt

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite reference to this office letter C. No. 119/ [illegible]94 dated12-2-25 regarding the estimate of the "Agreement" by the Swamigalu and to state that the noncompliance with the orders of Government till now is much regretted. On the assurance given by the Swamigalu the properties belonging to the matha were restored to him so far back as 1st August 1922 and it is not understood why inspite of numerous opportunities given the Agreement should not have been executed till now. As the matter can no longer be deferred, I request that the Agreement together with its accompaniments may be sent not later than the 30th instant failing which the matter will be submitted for the orders of Government without any further reference to you.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) C.S. Palasundarum Iyer,.

Muzrai Commissioner.

[illegible] L. & REV. SECT. Dr. 21 APR. 1925 R. D. SECTION.

No. 117/23-M Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore

Bangalore, Dated 16th April 1925.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to---- Government, Revenue Department with reference to his letter No. 1185/M.F.31-25-17 dated 5-2-25.

B.R. Submitted for kind perusal Muzrai Commissioner.

[illegible]

No. 119/23-24 Gen/File

Office of the Mu[illegible] Commissioner at
Dated Bangalore, 22nd May

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT 23MAY1925 MUZ....

GENL. & R. BR. 22. MAY 1925 No. 1711 DESPATCH.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,

Rev. 1711 May. 31-23-19.

AV 26/5

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo No.C.119 of 23-24 dated 18th April 1925, I have the honor to submit herewith in original Srimukha No.225 dated 2nd May 1925 from the Swamigalu of the Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami Matha explaining the circumstances under which the agreement was not executed till now and promising to send one after the present Mahasamaradhane is over.

2. Rayasa No.36 dated 27th July 1924 referred to by the Swamigalu in his Srimukha is the same as that referred to in para 1 of your letter No.1185/M.F.31-23-17 dated 5th February 1925 and the doubts entertained by the Swamigalu about its non submission to Government by the Sarvadhikari-Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti are ~~uninformed~~ misunderstood and therefore require correction. As the letter sent by the Swamigalu did not actually recognize all acts done by Government during the period the matha properties were under its management, its acceptance as a Release Deed was declined by Government. The Sarvadhikari to whom a copy of your communication was sent would have done well to have acquainted the Swamigalu with the observations contained in your letter of the 5th February 1925. This will be done while sending a reply to his present communication.

3. The Swamigalu takes objection to paras 7 and 8 of the proposed agreement drafted by the Government Advocate and stated that the conditions therein imposed are very derogatory to the dignity of the Matha.

Para 7 refers to the claims and liabilities appertaining to the land endowments of the matha as they stood on the date of transfer. The Government Advocate has already stated that "the claims and liabilities will have to be accepted, as they are, along with the properties and this will have to be clearly stated in the draft."

Para 8 refers to the permanent arrangements made or sanctioned by Government for the carrying on of the affairs of the matha, the -- utilization and application of the income etc and I fail to see ---- either in this or in para 7 anything that is derogatory to the dignity of the matha. It seems to me that the draft agreement being in --- English its contents have not been correctly made known to him. Now that the Swamigalu has taken the matter into his own hands, I am of opinion that the misapprehensions entertained by the Swamigalu will be cleared easily if an accurate translation of the agreement in kannada is sent to him and if he is requested to execute it in Kannada only.

4. I submit herewith a copy of the agreement drafted by the Government advocate together with a Kannada translation of the same prepared in the Secretariat under the orders of the late Muzrai Secretary (Mr. T.E.Jayarama Iyer) and shall, if approved, send copies of them to the Swamigalu with the intimation that no modification in the wording of the agreement is possible and that an agreement on a paper of requisite value may be executed and sent early together with its accompaniments.

5. I solicit the favour of very early orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.

(Absent from station.)

ASR.

App.F.V 31.]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mwg.

File No. 91-23.

Serial No. ~~19~~ 20

iary No of letter replied to

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

To The Imizzai Cana

Date of issue. 19

Signed by Jms

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 119 dt 22nd may 25 reporting, in the matter of the execution of an agreement by the Swamigalar of Sri Vyasaraya Swamy mutt, that the Swamigalar takes objection to paras 4 and 8 of the proposed agreement, that the draft agreement being in English, its contents have not been correctly

List of enclosures

Copied by .....

Examined by

over

made known to the Swamy,
and soliciting orders ~~in the~~ as to whether the agreement may be taken for ~~matter of taking~~ in Kannada, here in Kannada ~~an agreement from Trivandi~~,
I am directed to state
that ~~but~~ for reasons
derogatory to the dignity
of the matt, in paras 7 and
8 of the draft agreement ~~and to request~~
that as these are the very
conditions on which the
two parties were restored
to the matt, ~~and to request~~
~~that as recommended by~~
~~you~~, an agreement in
Kannada in the ~~same~~
terms, on paper of adequate
stamp ~~may be obtained~~,
and submitted to Govt
as early as possible.

and to appear of the suggestion made in para 4 of your letter. No result may be expected from the enquiry ~~the~~ Govt are a vote of sale.

17.6.25.

I have &c.

~~(Sd) Rao Saheb~~

~~Secretary~~

17.6.25

G. R. [illegible] VI—G/32]

UBJ. ....

Diary No of letter replied to ....

Section Mur

File No. 31-23

Serial No. 21

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue .. 5/2/26 ....

Signed by .....

No. 1001

Mur

To The Mag. Coimr

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. 1840/mur 31-23-20 dt 19-6-25 regarding the agreement to be obtained from the Sivaganga & the Ramnad Zamindars in respect of the mail services ~~between~~ outside the State, handed over to the Management

over.

WD 96.2-GPB—18°0C—16 8 23

of the Sivaganga Estate in July 1922, and to

request that as the
Madras Govt have not
yet been informed as to
the change of management,
~~early action~~ the matter may
be treated as very
urgent, and an agreement
in terms of that drafted by
the Govt Advocate, obtained from
the mortgagee on a duly stamped
paper.

The result of action taken
in the matter may be reported
for the info of Govt by the end
of March 1926.

List of enclos

Copied by

Examined by

39/30 29

No. C 1196/23-26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 24th March 1926.

From

The Muzrai Commissioner for Mysore,
Bangalore.

The Agent,
Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami Matha,
Basavangudi, Bangalore.

In forwarding herewith in original an agreement executed -- by the Swamigalu in pursuance of the instructions conveyed in -- Government Order No.5836-43/R.E.360-25-6 dated 20th April 1922 - (copy enclosed for ready reference) has the honor to state as -- follows and to request that the omissions may be rectified and a document in its complete form sent as early as practicable.

(i) The wording of the agreement varies in several places from the draft prepared by the Government advocate and accepted by -- Government. (vide copy enclosed.) The reasons for making the -- changes are not explained, and it was not regular to have made them without any reference thereto in explanation.

(ii) Blanks in the body of the document have not been filled in.

(iii) The schedule of properties has not been appended.

(iv) British Indian stamp paper has not been used.

(v) The document has not been registered before the Sub-Registra having jurisdiction over the properties. (vide copy of Government Advocate's letter dated 20th April 1922 enclosed.)

These irregularities and omissions should not have been allowed.

2. The Swamigalu may also be informed that the document in - its amended form has not been accepted and that he is taking -- further action as indicated above.

3. An immediate compliance is desired, as the Government of Madras have to be informed of the change of management without further delay.

KSR.

(Sd.), B. Ramaswamayya,
Muzrai Commissioner.

Muz 21 - 25. 1109

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the

the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for kind information with reference to correspondence ended with his letter No.1001/M.F. 31-23-21 dated 5th February 1926.

A Ramaswamy

Mudral Commissioner.

KSR.

Subject this will be placed in the file after perusal & further report awaited -

Fin. Secy 29/3

29/3 29.3.26

858/30.3

Ist. member 30/3/26 30/3

SM 1/4

31

No. C-119 Ex 8 Ve
V3-24

Office of the Muzral Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 19th November 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 22 NOV 1926 MUZRAI

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.577/M.F.31-23 dated 22nd September 1926 regarding the agreement to be executed by the Swamigalu of the Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami Matha, I have the honor to submit that para 7 of Muzrai Superintendent's note printed as annexure to Government order of 20th April 1922 refers in general terms that "the Swamigalu will not alter all or any of the permanent arrangements made or sanctioned by Government for the carrying on of the matha affairs the utilization and applicati of the income etc in the several orders passed by Government from time to time but the orders themselves have not been specified any where. An attempt was made to make a collection of orders from the records of this office but it has not been possible as the records are incomplete. As the Swamigalu is desirous of seeing all the orders referred to in para 8 of the draft agreement, I request the favour of definite instructions in the matter together with a set of Government orders wherein permanent arrangements for the carrying on of the affairs of the matha have been made or sanctioned by Government and which have to be incorporated in the agreement to be executed by the Swamigalu. The Swamigalu objects to such a condition which will restrict his right to manage the affairs of his matt according to his discretion and in any case rightly objects to binding himself in ignorance of the extent of the objection involved.

2. The collections sent with your letter of the 27th May 192[illegible] are returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Mukrai Commissioner.

RHE

F VI G1]

SUBJECT

Section Mvg

File No 3.-

Serial No 24

Diary No. of letter replied to

No 1103

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Inuz Comr

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no C-114 dt 20-11-1926 requesting among three things, a set of four orders wherein permanent arrangements for the carrying on of the affairs of the Inuz have been made or sanctioned by Govt and which have to be incorporated in the agreement to be executed by the Swamiyar of Sri Vyasaraya Swamy Matt, I am directed to state

over

Date of issue .. 13-12-26

Signed by M. Venkatesa Iyengar

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

That it is not possible
to ~~prepare~~ make up in this office an exhaustive ~~list~~ compilation
of facts under relating to
the matter, as the writer
has under the direct management
of the two Superintendents alone.
However, An attempt is being
made ~~to~~ in this direction.
In the meantime, I am
to request that you will be
so kind as to ~~introduce the~~ send up a list of
~~dates and dates of~~ Sheds of the fires
under ~~available~~ as your Office may be aware of
so that when Copies of these ~~may~~ will be required &
the ~~forwarded~~ sent to you in due time

I have etc

Sd/- [illegible]
Secretary

10/12/26

35

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section 9 & 31

File No. 31-23

Serial No. 25

No. Encl

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Asst Govt Agent

Date of issue 26/6/24

Signed by for Govt Agent

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. C 119/23 : 4 Dy 30th Nov 26 regarding the Agreement to be obtained from the Swamigalen of the Sri Vijayaraya Swamy math in respect of the math properties outside the State handed over by to his management in July 1922, and in Centre of this office

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—G.P.B.—30,000—26-5-27

Letter No. 1103 Mus 31 23-26 dt
13-12-1926, I am directed to
request you to have the
document executed by the
Swamy in the form
drawn up by the Govt.
Advt in my Secy ~~both a view~~
to prevent future complications
which may arise out of
the management of the
properties. ~~I am to add~~
~~that~~ The Swamy may be
told that if he does not
comply immediately,
recourse will be had
to such action as is necessary
~~to~~ to compel him to do so.
(2) The favor of a very
early reply is requested
I have etc
WB
WB
(W.B. Smith) Secy.
8/6
21/6/28

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 6th November 1929.

7



No. 119
23-4.

Rajakaryauravina,

FROM P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

~~xHxx~~*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*



To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.119/23-24 dated the 28th September 1929 in re the agreement to b executed by the Sri Vyasaraya Matt Swamigalu for having taken back the properties which were under Government management till 1922, I have the honor to state that it is already 7 years since the properties were -- handed over to the Swamigalu and during this period there has been no claim against Government and in the future, the properties are to come back again under Government management. I had a talk with the Swamigalu in the matter and he is prepared to give a statement that he will not question any acts that have been done by the -- Government officers in the course of their management of the Matt properties, when they were under Government control. In view of the fact that more than 6 years which is the period of limitation in such cases have elapsed since the properties were handed over to the Swamigalu, I am of opinion that the execution of the deed by the Swamigalu in the form proposed by the Government Advocate may be waived. If necessary, a clause may be added in the new deed to be taken from the Swamigalu, while taking charge of the

properties

P.T.O.

38.

properties now, absolving the Government from all liability as --- regards past management.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.